



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/291,832	04/14/1999	WOLFGANG JACOBSEN	MO-5152/LEA3	2636
34947 7	7590 05/02/2003	•		
BAYER CHEMICALS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
100 BAYER R PITTSBURGH	- -		LESPERANO	CE, JEAN E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2674	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/291,832	JACOBSEN ET AL.	(Ø)				
	Examiner	Art Unit	/				
	Jean E Lesperance	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE control which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:		Λ.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-50</u> .		111111	1				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		/4//.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Emm	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other: RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600							
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argued that the prior art does not teach a touch sensor. Examiner disagrees because the abstract of the prior art, Greanias et al, teaches a finger touch and stylus detection system for use on a surface of a display device corresponding to a touch sensor. Read the abstract.

^